Novel therapeutic molecular targets in lung cancer: non-V600 mutant *BRAF* and mutant *HER3*

A. Noeparast, PhD, I. Umelo, PhD, E. Teugels, PhD, J. De Greve, MD, PhD

SUMMARY

In three sequential studies, we pre-clinically investigated several previously unexplored lung cancer-derived BRAF mutations as well as a HER3 mutation and their response to clinically available targeted therapeutics. During the FIELT I clinical study at UZ Brussel, in which 229 non-small-cell lung carcinoma patients were prospectively investigated at the genomic level, twelve patients (5.2%) were identified to harbour a BRAF mutation in their tumour and one patient found to harbour a novel HER3 mutation. As opposed to melanoma, 75% of these non-small-cell lung carcinoma-derived BRAF mutations were non-V600. RAF inhibitors have only been clinically developed against BRAF V600 mutations because of concerns of paradoxical effect in non-V600 mutant cancers. The status of non-V600 mutations with regards to BRAF inhibition effects was unknown. We functionally analysed thirteen of such tumour-derived BRAF non-V600 mutations and demonstrated that all types of BRAF mutations cause pathway activation and are sensitive to clinically relevant doses of a combination of type I RAF-inhibitor (dabrafenib) and that paradoxical pathway activation is abrogated by MEK-inhibition (trametinib). This entails that dual inhibition of non-V600 mutations is effective and safe. Further, we investigated the comparative efficacy of two modes of RAF inhibition (type I vs type II) in suppressing mutant BRAF-induced ERK signalling. Our preclinical findings in non-V600 BRAF expressing cellular models suggest that the type II RAF-inhibition (AZ628) has more potential than the type I RAF-inhibition (dabrafenib), both as single agent and combined with MEK inhibition in suppressing the ERK pathway independent of the BRAF mutation type. We also explored a novel somatic lung cancer-derived V855 HER3 mutation. Our study provided evidence for oncogenicity of V855 HER3 in a HER2 and ligand-dependent manner, in murine and human cell lines. Further, we showed that the given V855A HER3 mutation predicts sensitivity to the clinically available HER-targeting therapeutic afatinib. Our findings support the clinical investigation of non-V600 BRAF mutated lung or other cancers with dual RAF and MEK inhibition and HER3 mutant cancers with afatinib. (BELG J MED ONCOL 2019;13(1):31-34)

INTRODUCTION

In contrast to classical chemo-based therapies, targeted therapies have shown to be safer and more efficient in some cancers.¹⁻³ The BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitors in chronic myeloid leukaemia, HER targeting therapeutics in *HER* mu-

tant lung cancer and RAF-inhibitors in *BRAF* mutant melanoma are some relatively successful stories of such therapies. ^{2,4-7} In some cancers, such as V600E *BRAF* mutant melanoma, combined targeting (RAF and MEK) has been shown to lead to increased efficacy while reducing the risk of resistance. ^{5,7}

Department of Medical Oncology, Oncology Centre UZ Brussel, Belgium.

Please send all correspondence to: J. De Greve, MD, PhD, UZ Brussel, Campus Jette, Laarbeeklaan 101, 1090 Brussel, tel: 32 24776415, email: jacques.degreve@uzbrussel.be.

Conflict of interest: The authors have nothing to disclose and indicate no potential conflict of interest.

Keywords: afatinib, AZ628, CRAF, dabrafenib, ERK paradoxical activation, HER inhibitor, HER2, HER3 kinase mutation, HER3-V855A, impaired-kinase, lung cancer, non-V600 BRAF, pertuzumab, RAF dimer signalling, trametinib, type I RAF-inhibitor, type II RAF-inhibitor.



During my PhD studies, I investigated previously unexplored lung cancer-derived *BRAF* mutations and a *HER3* mutation in lung cancer and their response to clinically available targeted therapeutics.

FINDINGS

STUDY 1: NON-V600 BRAF MUTATIONS RECURRENTLY FOUND IN LUNG CANCER PREDICT SENSITIVITY TO THE COMBINATION OF TRAMETINIB AND DABRAFENIB⁸

One of the most promising targeted therapy regiments since a few years ago has been the combination of a type I RAF-inhibitor (dabrafenib) and a MEK-inhibitor (trametinib) for the treatment of ~50% of patients with advanced melanoma who harbour a specific BRAF mutation (V600E).5,6 In contrast, sensitivity of non-V600 BRAF mutations to targeted therapeutics had not been studied in melanoma and other types of cancer. BRAF mutations depending on their ability to phosphorylate the MEK substrate in acellular in vitro kinase assays, are categorised as high or impaired-kinase.9 Interestingly, impaired-kinase mutations can still activate the ERK pathway, by forming heterodimers with another RAF kinase family member, CRAF. ERK pathway inhibition has been shown to have growth inhibitory effects in cells harbouring either type of BRAF mutations.9-11

In a clinical study at the UZ Brussel (FIELT study), 229 nonsmall-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) patients were prospectively investigated at the genomic level for mutations in the EGFR, KRAS, NRAS, HRAS and BRAF genes.¹² Twelve patients (5.2%) were identified to harbour a BRAF mutation in their tumour.8 Interestingly, 75% of these NSCLC-derived BRAF mutations were non-V600. We aimed to functionally characterise several NSCLC-derived BRAF mutations and investigate the actionability of non-V600 BRAF mutations with clinically available ERK pathway inhibitors. We demonstrated that all types of BRAF mutations are sensitive to clinically relevant doses of a combination of type I RAF-inhibitor (dabrafenib) and a MEK-inhibitor (trametinib).8 We investigated the expression of BRAF mutant proteins in HEK293T cells and in the lung epithelial cellular model (BEAS-2B) but also in human cancer cell lines harbouring non-V600 BRAF mutations.8 We observed that dabrafenib as a single agent has only weak effects and it may even induce paradoxical ERK activation in cells also overexpressing CRAF. We showed that the combined targeting of RAF and MEK leads to more prolonged ERK inhibition and has both anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic effects in cells harbouring either type of non-V600 BRAF mutations.8 Our study provides a basis for the clinical exploration of non-V600 BRAF mutant lung cancers upon treatment with trametinib and dabrafenib.

STUDY 2: TYPE II RAF-INHIBITION PREDICTS SUPERIOR ERK PATHWAY SUPPRESSION COMPARED TO TYPE I RAF-INHIBITION IN CELLS EXPRESSING DIFFERENT *BRAF* MUTANT TYPES RECURRENTLY FOUND IN LUNG CANCER¹

As we showed in the previous study, non-V600 BRAF mutations predict for sensitivity to the combination of trametinib and a type I RAF-inhibitor dabrafenib.8 It came therefore as no surprise that due to the lack of clinical studies with RAF and MEK-inhibitors in non-V600 BRAF mutant cancers the clinical activity of inhibitors and mechanisms of insensitivity and resistance to these inhibitors remain largely unrevealed. Dimer signalling leads to major challenges with type I RAF-inhibitors. 13,14 'Early adaptive sensitivity' and 'paradoxical ERK activation' are the two well-described challenges of RAF targeting upon type I RAF-inhibition in V600 mutant cancers. In contrast to type I inhibitors, type II RAF-inhibitors are thought to catalytically inhibit both of the RAF-dimer partners (in case of dimer formation). 15,16 We postulated that with type II RAF-inhibition, stronger ERK pathway inhibition can be achieved in cells expressing BRAF mutants, irrespective of mutation type. We addressed the question regarding the comparative efficacy of type I versus type II RAF-inhibition in suppressing mutant BRAF-induced ERK signalling. We compared the effects of dabrafenib and a type II RAF-inhibitor (AZ628) on ERK activity, in HEK293T cells expressing several tumour-derived BRAF mutants and a non-V600 and impaired-kinase BRAF mutant lung cancer cell line (H1666). In contrast to dabrafenib, AZ628 does not induce paradoxical ERK activation in CRAF expressing cells. Increased CRAF expression desensitises BRAF-mutant expressing cells to dabrafenib but not to AZ628. Notably, AZ628 has superior ERK-inhibitory effect in HEK293T cells co-expressing several different BRAF mutants with CRAF and in H1666 cells. The combination of AZ628 and trametinib has superior MEK-inhibitory and pro-apoptotic effects in H1666 cells compared to combined trametinib/dabrafenib. Moreover, prolonged treatment of H1666 cells with the combination of AZ628 and trametinib results in a superior cell growth inhibitory effect compared to dabrafenib/trametinib. We concluded that the type II RAF-inhibitor AZ628 has more potential than type I RAF-inhibitor dabrafenib, both as single agent and combined with trametinib for the treatment of non-V600 BRAF mutant lung cancer.

^{*} Revised version further published in Oncotarget (Noeparast A, et al. 2018;9:16110-23).

STUDY 3: IDENTIFICATION OF A NOVEL HER3 ACTIVATING MUTATION HOMOLOGOUS TO EGFR-L858R IN LUNG CANCER¹⁷

In the FIELT 2 study, our laboratory identified one NSCLC patient with a novel HER3V855A somatic mutation in his tumour, which is at a position homologous to the frequently occurring L858R EGFR mutation.¹⁷ At the time, no HER3 mutation had been functionally characterised in cancer. Interest was presumably low as HER3 is considered as a pseudokinase with a very weak kinase activity, although, this receptor has a kinase domain that is very similar to the tyrosine kinase domain of the other HER receptors. 18,19 HER3 has known ligands but it can induce downstream signalling only through forming dimers with other HER receptor molecules (preferably HER2).19,20 We sought to functionally characterise the novel V855 HER3 mutation and determine its possible role as a driver oncogenic mutation. Moreover, we investigated whether V855 HER3 predicts sensitivity to candidate targeted therapeutics. Our study provided evidence for oncogenicity of V855 HER3 in a HER2 and ligand-dependent manner, in murine and human cell lines.¹⁷ Further, we showed that the given V855A HER3 mutation predicts sensitivity to the clinically available HER-targeting therapeutics. We proposed that patients harbouring an oncogenic HER3 mutation in their tumours could therapeutically benefit from the tyrosine kinase inhibitor afatinib and the monoclonal HER2-antibody pertuzumab.¹⁷ One year after the publication of our results, our claim has been shown to be relevant in HER3 mutated urothelial cancer.²¹ Our HER3 study has laid the foundation for inclusion of HER3 mutations in any cancer type in a precision multi-cohort basket trial with afatinib in HER1, HER2 or HER3 mutant cancers that is being launched in all Belgian university medical oncology departments.

CONCLUSION

Taken all together, we conclude that *BRAF* mutations are not rare in lung cancer and the majority of *BRAF* mutations in lung cancer are non-V600E. Our findings suggest that non-V600E mutations predict sensitivity to the combination of dabrafenib and trametinib. Furthermore, we demonstrate that type II RAF-inhibition is more likely to provide efficient ERK pathway suppression compared to type I RAF-inhibition in *BRAF* mutant cells independent of *BRAF* mutation type. Moreover, V855A *HER3*, a rare NSCLC-derived mutation homologous to L858R *EGFR*, is oncogenic and confers sensitivity to available HER targeting therapeutics.

REFERENCES

1. Huang M, et al. Molecularly targeted cancer therapy: some lessons from the past decade. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2014;35(1):41-50.

- 2. Yang JC-H, et al. Afatinib versus cisplatin-based chemotherapy for EGFR mutation-positive lung adenocarcinoma (LUX-Lung 3 and LUX-Lung 6): analysis of overall survival data from two randomised, phase 3 trials. Lancet Onc. 2015;16(2):141-51.
- Arteaga CL, Engelman JA. ERBB receptors: from oncogene discovery to basic science to mechanism-based cancer therapeutics. Cancer cell. 2014;25(3):282-303
- 4. Druker BJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of a specific inhibitor of the BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase in chronic myeloid leukemia. New Eng J Med. 2001;344(14):1031-7.
- 5. Schreuer M, et al. Combination of dabrafenib plus trametinib for BRAF and MEK inhibitor pretreated patients with advanced BRAFV600-mutant melanoma: an open-label, single arm, dual-centre, phase 2 clinical trial. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(4):464-72.
- 6. Long GV, et al. Dabrafenib and trametinib versus dabrafenib and placebo for Val600 BRAF-mutant melanoma: a multicentre, double-blind, phase 3 randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2015;386(9992):444-51.
- 7. Planchard D, et al. Dabrafenib plus trametinib in patients with previously treated BRAF(V600E)-mutant metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: an open-label, multicentre phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17(7):984-93.
- 8. Noeparast A, et al. Non-V600 BRAF mutations recurrently found in lung cancer predict sensitivity to the combination of Trametinib and Dabrafenib. Oncotarget. 2016;8(36):60094-108.
- 9. Wan PT, et al. Mechanism of activation of the RAF-ERK signaling pathway by oncogenic mutations of B-RAF. Cell. 2004;116(6):855-67.
- 10. Sen B, et al. Kinase-impaired BRAF mutations in lung cancer confer sensitivity to dasatinib. Sci Transl Med. 2012;4(136):136ra70.
- 11. Pratilas CA, et al. Genetic predictors of MEK dependence in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res. 2008;68(22):9375-83.
- De Greve J, et al. Prospective evaluation of first-line erlotinib in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) carrying an activating EGFR mutation: A multicenter academic phase II study in Caucasian patients (FIELT). PloS one. 2016;11(3):e0147599.
 Lavoie H, Therrien M. Regulation of RAF protein kinases in ERK signalling. Nat Rev Mol cell Biol. 2015;16(5):281-98.
- 14. Spagnolo F, Ghiorzo P, Queirolo P. Overcoming resistance to BRAF inhibition in BRAF-mutated metastatic melanoma. Oncotarget. 2014;5(21):10206-21.
- 15. Poulikakos PI, et al. RAF inhibitors transactivate RAF dimers and ERK signalling in cells with wild-type BRAF. Nature. 2010;464(7287):427-30.
- 16. Yao Z, et al. BRAF mutants evade ERK-dependent feedback by different mechanisms that determine their sensitivity to pharmacologic inhibition. Cancer cell. 2015;28(3):370-83.
- 17. Umelo I, et al. Identification of a novel HER3 activating mutation homologous to EGFR-L858R in lung cancer. Oncotarget. 2016;7(3):3068-83.
- 18. Shi FM, et al. ErbB3/HER3 intracellular domain is competent to bind ATP and catalyze autophosphorylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(17):7692-7.
- 19. Campbell MR, Amin D, Moasser MM. HER3 comes of age: new insights into its functions and role in signaling, tumor biology, and cancer therapy. Olin Cancer Res. 2010;16(5):1373-83.
- 20. Amin DN, et al. Resiliency and vulnerability in the HER2-HER3 tumorigenic driver. Sci Transl Med. 2010;2(16):16ra7.
- 21. Choudhury NJ, et al. Afatinib activity in platinum-refractory metastatic urothelial carcinoma in patients with ERBB alterations. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(18):2165-71.